

REMARKS

The applicant has amended the Abstract.

The applicant has amended FIG. 5 to change “Is” to “Are”.

The applicant has amended FIG. 2C to add labels 25c and 26c. The description has been amended to be consistent with FIG. 2C.

The description has been amended to correct clerical errors.

The applicant has submitted an Information Disclosure Statement listing references to be considered by the Office.

Claim 19 has been amended so that every limitation has antecedent basis.

Below, the applicant's comments are preceded by related remarks of the examiner set forth in small bold type.

**Claims 1, 2, 4-8, 10, 11,13-17, 19, 20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Pat No 5,983,227 issued to Nazem et al. (hereafter Nazem).**

**Claims 1, 10 and 19:**

**Referring to claim 1, Nazem discloses:**

**A method of updating a personalized web page, the method comprising:**

- **identifying characteristic features and an information sample (Figure 2, 'page generator' element 210 and 'user front page template', element 202) (Footnote 1) from an input that specifies a web site, the web site providing the information sample (column 2, lines 60-63);**
- **determining corresponding contents of the web site based on the characteristic features (Figure 2, 'page generator' element 210 and 'cached user templates', element 214) (Footnote 2);**
- **extracting the corresponding contents on the basis of relevancy of the domain keyword, semantic category, event and layout of the corresponding contents to the information sample (Figure 2, 'user front page template', element 202 and page generator', element 210) (Footnote 3);**
- **and updating the personalized web page with the corresponding contents (Figure 1, 'edit server' element 112; Figure 2) (Footnote 4).**

**(Footnote 1: Page generator 210 identifies characteristic features in the information sample – user front-page template 202, as user template 202 contains all characteristic features that need to be found in the webpage.**

**Footnote 2: Page generator 210 uses cached user templates 214 in Figure 2 to determine a corresponding template from the cached user template database 214.**

**Footnote 3: Page generator extracts relevant cached user templates corresponding to the user front-page template 202.**

**Footnote 4: Edit server 112 in Figure 1 updates the personalized webpage with the corresponding cached user templates 214 and shared memory 212 in Figure 2.)**

The applicant respectfully disagrees. Nazem does not disclose or suggest “identifying characteristic features of a web site from an input that specifies the web site, the input including an information sample previously obtained from the web site; [and] extracting contents from the web site based on the identified characteristic features and relevancy of the contents to the information sample,” as recited in amended claim 1. An example of the “information sample” is an information object 24 copied from a portion of a web page (see FIG. 2A and paragraph 25, lines 7-9 of the specification). The invention of claim 1 allows, for example, updating a personal web page using contents from a web site that are relevant to an information sample that a user previously obtained from the same web site.

By contrast, Nazem discloses a way of generating a custom front page 218 by using a user template 202, which is generated from a global front page template 204 and a user configuration record 206 (col. 3, lines 51-53). The examiner associates the “user template 202” of Nazem with the “information sample” of claim 1. The user template of Nazem is not previously obtained from a web site, but rather, is generated based on a global front page template. Also, Nazem does not extract contents from the web site based on the identified characteristic features and relevancy of the contents to the information sample, where the information sample was previously obtained from the same web site.

Claims 10 and 19 are patentable for at least reasons similar to claim 1. The pending dependent claims are patentable for at least the same reasons as the claim on which they depend.

Any circumstance in which the applicant has addressed certain comments of the examiner does not mean that the applicant concedes other comments of the examiner. Any circumstance in which the applicant has made arguments for the patentability of some claims does not mean that

Applicant : Yi-Shiou Chen et al  
Serial No. : 10/045,616  
Filed : November 9, 2001  
Page : 14 of 14

Attorney Docket: 08919-063001 / 05A-880412

there are not other good reasons for patentability of those claims and other claims. Any circumstance in which the applicant has amended a claim does not mean that the applicant concedes any of the examiner's positions with respect to that claim or other claims.

Please apply \$18 for excess claim fees, \$490 for the Petition for Three Month Extension of Time fee, and any other charges to deposit account 06-1050, referencing attorney docket 08919-063001.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 10/8/2004

Rex Huang  
Rex Huang\* for  
Y. Rocky Tsao, Reg. No. 34,053

PTO Customer No. 26161  
Fish & Richardson P.C.  
225 Franklin Street  
Boston, MA 02110-2804  
Telephone: (617) 542-5070  
Facsimile: (617) 542-8906

*\* See attached document certifying that Rex Huang has limited recognition to practice before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office under 37 CFR § 10.9(b).*



**BEFORE THE OFFICE OF ENROLLMENT AND DISCIPLINE  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

**RECEIVED**

**OCT 28 2004**

**Technology Center 2100**

**LIMITED RECOGNITION UNDER 37 CFR § 10.9(b)**

Rex Huang is hereby given limited recognition under 37 CFR § 10.9(b) as an employee of the Fish & Richardson P.C. law firm to prepare and prosecute patent applications wherein the patent applicant is the client of the Fish & Richardson P.C. law firm, and the attorney or agent of record in the applications is a registered practitioner who is a member of the Fish & Richardson P.C. law firm. This limited recognition shall expire on the date appearing below, or when whichever of the following events first occurs prior to the date appearing below: (i) Rex Huang ceases to lawfully reside in the United States, (ii) Rex Huang's employment with the Fish & Richardson P.C. law firm ceases or is terminated, or (iii) Rex Huang ceases to remain or reside in the United States on an H1B visa.

This document constitutes proof of such recognition. The original of this document is on file in the Office of Enrollment and Discipline of the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

**Expires: January 1, 2005**

**Harry I. Moatz  
Director of Enrollment and Discipline**